From: Susan Hanson [susanh@ida.net]
Sent: Monday, November 18, 2013 11:19 AM

To: Kelly Wright

Cc: Virginia Monsisco; Rochlin, Kevin

Subject: Call- Baseline

Categories: 11-19 to 1-10 2014

Kelly,

Kevin indicated he would like to discuss the Tribes comments on FMC's Response to Comments, our position on how FMC disposes of the water from the well tests. Also, the Tribes request per the Cooperative Agreement to conduct- re-establish baseline sampling of wells. Not sure of the language in the Cooperative Agreement but as discussed many times with policy leaders, the Tribes have requested a regular expanded list of analytes be conducted on the FMC OU wells.

Susan

On Nov 14, 2013, at 3:57 PM, Kelly Wright < kwright@sbtribes.com> wrote:

Sorry I missed today's call I had a family emergency. Hopefully, I can shed some light on what is being construed as baseline. Yes, I would have to agree with the concept that some analytical data is available from the past 20+ years. What is concerning with this concept is the fact that none of the waste streams even generated at FMC were homogenous. I am concerned with the idea that I implied baseline. Not really something I would use, I believe it would be correctly stated as background.

This facility disposed of it waste streams in a variety of capacities over the years. To say collecting samples and limiting the constituents to relatively a few is wrong. How is it that EPA can justify that treatment of groundwater is successful if you are not looking at the full picture? What happens when one of these other chemicals being released into the environment from FMC cases more significant problems? Say one of the metals that are not being analyzed for. Granted the radiological constituents may not be in concentrations that would suggest risk assessment but we need to realize that every constituent does and will continue to contribute to impacts to the environment and human health.

Sorry I getting more frustrated than usefulness.